

Geomagnetic Disturbance Analysis: State Estimation, Integrated GIC and Harmonics Analysis, and the Latest G5 Storm

Jonathan Snodgrass Senior Research Engineer Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering

Smart Grid Center Webinar, October 23, 2024

Snodgrass@tamu.edu

Audience Participation: GMD Background

• Using the "Raise Hand" button screen, please raise your hand if you:



E XA

- Have at least a rudimentary knowledge of geomagnetic disturbances (GMDs), geomagnetically induced currents (GICs) and their effect on the grid
- Conducted a TPL-007 study (leave your hands up)
- Assisted with a TPL-007 study (leave your hands up)
- Have read the results of a TPL-007 study, or any other GMD assessment study (leave your hands up)
- Have read at least parts of the NERC TPL-007 standard (leave your hands up)
- Know what NERC TPL-007 is? (you can put your hands down)
- Attended my GMD webinar a year ago (if you remember)

GMD Analysis Components

TEXAS A&M

A M

- Modeling
- Data
- Simulations
- Mitigation

GMD Analysis: TPL-007

- Modeling
 - Geocoordinates, and additional modeling parameters for transmission lines, transformers and substations

I EXA

Ā M

- Data
 - E-field information
- Simulations
 - Steady State "worst case"
 - Thermal and Harmonics analysis if needed
- Mitigation
 - If needed

GMD Research at A&M

- Modeling
 - Geocoordinates, and additional modeling parameters for transmission lines, transformers and substations

LEXA

ĀM

- Transient stability models
- Transformer thermal models
- Data
 - Spatiotemporally varying e-field waveforms
 - Magnetic field waveforms
 - Earth conductivity

GMD Research at A&M

- Simulations
 - Power flow time step simulation
 - Transient stability
 - Integrated harmonics and thermal analysis (with EPRI)
 - GIC state estimation
- Mitigation
 - Ongoing work to determine best strategies to mitigate GICs

H. X

Ā M

- GIC blockers
- Topology changes
- Relaying changes to accommodate harmonics

Overview

• Introduction: What are Geomagnetic Disturbances?

Å M

- Geomagnetically Induced Current State Estimation
- Integrated assessment tool in collaboration with EPRI

GMD Short Course: March 18-19, 2025

- Location: Texas A&M University RELLIS Campus
- Instructors: Tom Overbye, Bob Arritt, Jonathan Snodgrass
- This webinar gives a brief introduction to the topics covered in the GMD short course
- <u>https://epg.engr.tamu.edu/electric-grid-impacts-of-geomagnetic-disturbances/</u>

TEXA

What is Space Weather?

- TEXAS A&M
- Like Earth, the sun has its own weather
 - The solar cycle is around 11 years, compared to earth's weather cycle of 12 months
 - It has a continuous stream of plasma called the solar wind
 - There are periodic releases of billions of tons of matter in what are called coronal mass ejections (CMEs)
 - When directed towards Earth, they can cause large magnetic storms in the space environment around Earth (the magnetosphere and the upper atmosphere)

Geomagnetic Disturbances

A Geomagnetic Disturbance (GMD) occurs when a CME (Coronal Mass Ejection) hits earth.

A GMD severity is from G1-G5



https://www.naes.com/news/what-is-a-geomagnetic-disturbance-and-how-can-it-affect-the-powergrid/#:~:text=Solar%20flares%20followed%20by%20CMEs,orientation%20of%20the%20magnetic%20field

Scale	Descriptor	Effect on Power systems	Avg. Frequency
			(1 cycle = 11 years)
G5	Extreme	Widespread voltage control	4 days per cycle
		problems and protective system	
		problems can occur, some grid	
		systems may experience complete	
		collapse or blackouts. Transformers	
		may experience damage.	
G4	Severe	Possible widespread voltage control	60 days per cycle
		problems and some protective	
		systems will mistakenly trip out key	
		assets from the grid.	
G3	Strong	Voltage corrections may be required,	130 days per cycle
		false alarms triggered on some	
		protection devices.	
G2	Moderate	High-latitude power systems may	360 days per cycle
		experience voltage alarms, long-	
		duration storms may cause	
		transformer damage.	
G1	Minor	Weak power grid fluctuations can	900 days per cycle
		occur.	

Ā M

TEXAS A&M

https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/noaa-scales-explanation

Effects of Space Weather

Coronal mass ejections (CME's) result from solar activity High-energy charged particles interact with Earth's magnetic field



Ā M

TEXAS A&M

What causes GICs?



TEXAS A&M

M

Geomagnetically Induced Currents

A GMD causes Geomagnetically Induced Currents (GIC)

- GIC are low-frequency, quasi-DC
- GICs can saturate transformers, creating harmonics
- Harmonics can cause nuisance tripping of relays
- Harmonics are modeled by increasing the VAR losses of the transformer
- Increased VAR losses can cause voltage instability



ĀM

TEXAS A&M

https://www.dynamicratings.com/solutions/geomagnetic-induced-current-monitoring/

G5 Storm, May 10th, 2024

- A G5 storm occurred from May 10-11, 2024
- This was the largest storm to occur since 2000
- Several relays tripped due to harmonics
- Analysis is still ongoing from this storm



TEXAS A&M

Photo of Aurora Borealis in College Station, Tx on May 10th, 2024 Photo Credit: Rhett Guthrie

G5 Storm, May 10th, 2024; E-Field

S A&M

TEXA

A M

Youtube Link to be added

What are the impacts?

- Superimposition of DC GICs on • normal AC grid currents can push transformer flux into saturation for a half-cycle
- This can cause harmonics
 - Why?
 - Fourier series: all waveforms can be represented by a sum of sine and cosine waves
 - Square wave:

$$f(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right).$$



DC causes Part – Cycle, Semi – Saturation of the

Ā M

TEXAS A&M

What are the impacts?

- In the positive sequence (e.g., PF and TS) these harmonics can be represented by <u>increased reactive</u> <u>power losses on the transformer</u>
 - Why?
 - $Z_L = j\omega L$ so transformer impedance increases with frequency
- Harmonics can also cause relays to trip devices such as SVCs
 - $Z_c = \frac{1}{j\omega c}$ so capacitors are a low impedance path for harmonics
- Transformer heating and damage



Ā M

TEXAS A&M

What are the impacts?



- Voltage collapse due to:
 - Increased transformer reactive losses
 - Tripping of reactive power support devices
- Transformer damage (including GSUs)
- A GMD event in March 1989 caused a major blackout in Quebec
 - 7 SVCs tripped within 59 seconds, causing voltage collapse 25 seconds later.
 6 million people without power for 9 hours.
 - In US: New York Power lost 150 MW,

New England Power Pool lost 1,410 MW, service to 96 electrical utilities in New England was interrupted. Over 200 grid issues problems erupted within minutes of the start of the storm. Luckily, there were no blackouts.

March 13, 1989 Geomagnetic Disturbance

Hydro-Québec Blackout

ummary

Just before 0245 EST on March 13, 1989, an exceptionally intense magnetic storm caused the shutdown of seven static compensators on the La Grande network. This equipment is essential for control of the Hydro-Québec grid and its loss caused voltage to drop, frequency to increase, and the resultant instability caused the tripping of the La Grande transmission lines.

The rest of the Hydro-Ouébec system, supplied by the Manicouagan and Churchill Falls complexes, collapsed within seconds of the loss of the 0 SOD MW of generation from the La Grande automatic rejection of the generation of two La Grande 4 generating units.

TEXAS A&M

Three other 735 kV lines of the La Grande transmission network tripped next, and faults occurred in two single-phase units of two La Grande 4 transformers and in the surge arrestor of a shunt reactor at Nemiscau substation. The remaining line of the La Grande transmission network tripped next. Thus, the La Grande network was separated completely from the Hydro-Québec transmission network.

With separation of the La Grande network, the frequency fell rapidly. In response, automatic load-



Texas A&M GMD Work

- Developed synthetic but realistic large scale test systems, which include GMD parameters
 - <u>https://electricgrids.engr.tamu.edu/electric-grid-test-cases/</u>
- Real-time GIC Monitoring and Control Environment
 - Texas Magnetometer Network
 - GIC "State Estimation" (GIC Estimation)
 - Interactive GMD simulations and scenarios for visualization, control, and mitigation applications

Texas Magnetometer Network



 6 magnetometers installed by Texas A&M and Computational Physics Inc. (CPI)

Ā M

- Completed Dec 2019
- Building on the results of our NSF project design

LEXAS A&M

- Locations
 - 5 Texas A&M AgriLife Research sites (Amarillo, Beaumont, Beeville, Overton, Stephenville)
 - 1 local on RELLIS Campus (Bryan, TX)
- 1 mag installed under prior NSF project at Odessa

Online Dashboard



TEXAS A&M

A M

GIC measurement devices can

Hypothesis

- field. This is done through a weighted ullet
 - least squares state estimation





Weighted Least Squared (WLS) State Estimation



- State estimation is traditionally used for estimating voltages throughout the electrical grid.
 - The relationship between GICs and a semi-DC electric field is linear, so a linear state estimation can be used.
- WLS Linear State Estimation:

$$x = \left(h^T R^{-1} h\right)^{-1} h^T R^{-1} z$$

- **z**: measurements GICs
- **x**: states electric field
- **h**: of the relationship between measurements and states
- **R**: measurement error

Electric Field to GICs



$$I_n = \Phi_n G^{-1} H E$$

- *I_n*: Geomagnetically Induced Currents
- Φ_n : Transformer conductances, altered to include different transformer types
- *G*⁻¹: Line conductance values that include substation grounding resistances
- *H*: Matrix that depicts the length, resistance, and orientation of the lines in the North/East direction.
- E: Electric Field



- Estimates the Northern and Eastern electric field magnitudes for five different zones across Texas.
 - Utilizes transmission line and transformer data to map GICs to electric fields.
- Determines the number of GIC measurements within each zone required to accurately estimate the electric field.

Same Electric Field Without Noise

GIC Neutrals Without Noise Estimating Same Electric Fields 10-14 10⁰ Zone 1 Electric Field E: 3 Average Electric Field Estimate Raw Error Zone 1 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 2 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 2 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 3 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 3 Electric Field N: 3 10⁻⁵ Zone 4 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 4 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 5 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 5 Electric Field N: 3 10⁻¹⁰ 10-15 10-15 3 5 6 50 10 20 30 0 40 Number of GIC Neutral Measurements per Zone

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY Engineering

AM

Same Electric Field With Noise

Average Electric Field Estimate Raw Error GIC Neutrals With Noise Estimating Same Electric Fields Zone 1 Electric Field E: 3 10¹ Zone 1 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 2 Electric Field E: 3 10¹ Zone 2 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 3 Electric Field E: 3 10⁰ Zone 3 Electric Field N: 3 10⁰ Zone 4 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 4 Electric Field N: 3 X 8 Zone 5 Electric Field E: 3 10⁻¹ Y 0.0424953 Zone 5 Electric Field N: 3 10⁻¹ ⊦ 10⁻² 10⁻² ⊦ 5 10 15 25 30 10 20 2 6 8 4

Number of GIC Neutral Measurements per Zone

Engineering

A M

Different Electric Field Without Noise

GIC Neutrals Without Noise Estimating Diferent Electric Fields Average Electric Field Estimate Raw Error 10⁰ Zone 1 Electric Field E: 1 10⁻¹⁴ Zone 1 Electric Field N: 3 Zone 2 Electric Field E: 3 Zone 2 Electric Field N: 2 Zone 3 Electric Field E: 4 10⁻⁵ Zone 3 Electric Field N: 1 Zone 4 Electric Field E: 5 Zone 4 Electric Field N: 6 Zone 5 Electric Field E: 5 10⁻¹⁵ Zone 5 Electric Field N: 1 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹⁵ 20 25 30 5 15 10 3 5 6 4

Number of GIC Neutral Measurements per Zone

Engineering

AM

Different Electric Field With Noise

GIC Neutrals With Noise Estimating Different Electric Fields Average Electric Field Estimate Raw Error Zone 1 Electric Field E: 1 Zone 1 Electric Field N: 3 10⁻¹ Zone 2 Electric Field E: 3 10¹ Zone 2 Electric Field N: 2 X 8 Zone 3 Electric Field E: 4 Y 0.0427108 Zone 3 Electric Field N: 1 10⁰ Zone 4 Electric Field E: 5 Zone 4 Electric Field N: 6 Zone 5 Electric Field E: 5 Zone 5 Electric Field N: 1 10⁻¹ 10⁻² 10⁻² 5 15 20 25 30 6 8 10 2 Number of GIC Neutral Measurements per Zone

Engineering

AM

Results Summary



- Three measurements are required regardless for estimating the electric field from GIC measurements.
- Eight measurements are required to ensure an error of less than 0.05 V/m over 1000 iterations when noise is added to the measurements.
 - Changing the direction/magnitude of the electric field does not affect the estimation to a significant degree.
 - Some zones may require more measurements to acquire the same level of accuracy as the other zones regardless of the electric field.

Integrated Assessment Tool

- EPRI has integrated GIC modeling tools for GMD vulnerability assessments. (GMDToolINT)
- Integrated Platforms include:
 - B2E Tool (Earth Conductivity E-Field solver)
 - ETTM (Transformer Thermal Module),
 - GICharm (GIC-harmonic Analysis Tool), and
 - GIC Power flow (PowerWorld GMD Vulnerability Software Platform)
 - Integrated Tool includes relevant improvements
 - GIC unbalance and improved responses
 - The new source code, executables, examples and documentation are available on a PNNL open-source software site, and GICharm and ETTM are available on EPRI-member site with access provided.



Model Integration





Integration tool overview

- Tool integrates functionalities of B2eCalc, PowerWorld ESA, ETTM and GICHarm.
- Tool manual indicates installation instructions, module functions and input data file description.





ETTM: Transformer Thermal Analysis

GMD Short Course: March 18-19, 2025

- Location: Texas A&M University RELLIS Campus
- Instructors: Tom Overbye, Bob Arritt, Jonathan Snodgrass
- This webinar gives a brief introduction to the topics covered in the GMD short course
- <u>https://epg.engr.tamu.edu/electric-grid-impacts-of-geomagnetic-disturbances/</u>

LEXA





Questions?